

CYPS Performance Monitoring Table – 2011/12 Outturn

							Latest Comparative Data							
No.	Ref	Definition	Good Perf	10/11 Perf	11/12 Target	11/12 Outturn	Commentary	DOT (Yr on Yr)	Stat. Neigh.	National	On target	Met SN	Met National	RAG Status
Making sure no community is left behind.														
1	NI 116	Proportion of children in poverty	LOW	22%	21.6%	23.3%	Child poverty levels have increased locally showing an increase in 2009 to 23.3% of children in Rotherham living in a household with relative low income up from 22% in 2008. Child poverty levels remain above the national and regional averages of 21.3% and 21.9% respectively but are still below the South Yorkshire average of 24.1%. The variation of child poverty at neighbourhood level is vast. Child poverty in Rotherham Super Output Areas (SOAs) ranges from 1.9% to 57.5% in some areas.	↓	24.2%	21.3%	x	✓	x	RED
Providing quality education; ensuring people have opportunities to improve skills, learn and get a job														
2	NI 53	Prevalence of breastfeeding at 6–8 weeks from birth												
a	a	Prevalence	HIGH	29.2%	32.0%	30.2%	Breastfeeding prevalence rate is 30.2% and has continued on an upward trajectory from the 2010/11 position of 29.2%. We have achieved a one per cent increase, which is below the 2% yearly increase and the agreed target of 32%. The small improvement reflects the community training and peer support across the Borough, but also suggests the need for continued prioritising.	↑	27.4%	45.2%	x	✓	x	AMBER
b	b	Coverage	HIGH	98.7%	97.0%	97.4%	(Direction refers to comparison to last year)	↓	96.9%	93.6%	x	x	✓	AMBER
3	NI 72	Achievement of at least 78 points across the Early Years Foundation Stage with at least 6 in each of the scales in Personal Social and Emotional Development and Communication, Language and Literacy	HIGH	56.4%	54.8%	58.30%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was an increase in results of 1.9% in 2011. This is 0.7% below the national average. The statutory target was exceeded by 3.5% 	↑	57.6%	59.0%	✓	✓	x	GREEN
4	NI 73	Achievement at level 4 or above in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2 (Threshold)	HIGH	66.5%	79.0%	69.3%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This indicator has increased by 2.8% in 2011 against a national increase of 1%. The results are well below the statutory targets set by schools. Rotherham is 4.7% below the National average. Support to schools is detailed in the delivery plan 	↑	74.6%	74.0%	x	x	x	RED
5	NI 75	Achievement of 5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths	HIGH	50.8%	57.5%	56.7%	The improvement of 5.9% in 2011 was 0.4% above the national average increase. This has narrowed the gap to national averages to 2.2%. Results are only 0.8% below the target. Improvement in the standards for both English and Mathematics A*-C contributed towards the increase in this indicator. All Rotherham schools are above the DfE floor standard 5+A*-C including English and mathematics.	↑	55.2%	58.9%	x	✓	x	RED
6	NI 76	Reduction in number of schools where fewer than 60% of pupils achieve level 4 or above in both English and Maths at KS2	LOW	13	N/A	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of schools below the floor standard was reduced by one in 2011. This is 14% of our schools against a national average of 10%. Of the thirteen primary schools which were below the standards in 2010, ten were above the standard for 2011. Three schools remained below the floor standards. 	↑	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	NI 78	Reduction in number of schools where fewer than 35% of pupils achieve 5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE or equivalent including GCSEs in English and Maths	LOW	0	0	0	The government have introduced new KS4 floor standards combining attainment with progress measures and increasing the attainment threshold 5A*-C including E&M to 35%. It is expected that as a minimum standard, all schools should have at least 35% of pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grade A*-C or equivalent including GCSEs in both English and mathematics and not be below the median school average for the percentage of pupils making expected progress between Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 4 in English and in mathematics. The median for pupils making expected progress in English is 74% and in mathematics is 66% in 2011. No Rotherham schools were below the new DfE Floor Standard in 2011. All schools were above 40% 5A*-C including E&M.	→	0.3	N/A	✓	✓	N/A	GREEN
8	NI79	Achievement of a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19	HIGH	74.40%	N/A	76.3%	The increase of 1.9% continues an upward trend in this indicator, however, Rotherham remains below the national average.	↑	76.3%	81.5%	N/A	✓	x	AMBER
9	NI80	Achievement of a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19	HIGH	43.70%	N/A	44.9%	An increase of 1.2% continues the upward trend in this indicator. Rotherham remains below the national average of 56.7%.	↑	45.5%	54.2%	N/A	x	x	RED
10	NI81	Inequality gap in the achievement of a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19	LOW	26%	N/A	25.0%	Against an increasing cohort number and increasing percentage of FSM-eligible learners, Rotherham has improved the attainment of L3 by 19 for this cohort, thus closing the inequality gap this year.	↑	26.5%	24.2%	N/A	✓	x	AMBER
11	NI82	Inequality gap in the achievement of a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19	LOW	25%	N/A	28.0%	The Rotherham gap in attainment at L2 by 19 has increased against an increased number of FSM eligible learners.	↓	24.4%	20.2%	N/A	x	x	RED
12	NI 86	Secondary schools judged as having good or outstanding standards of behaviour	HIGH	69%	75%	66%	No schools were inspected in the spring term 2012 therefore the percentage remains the same.	↓	76.7%	81.6%	x	x	x	RED
13	NI 87	Secondary school persistent absence rate	LOW	5.1%	4.3%	5.20%	Persistent Absence figure of 5.2% has exceeding the target of 4.3% for 2011/12. Unfortunately, due to budget restraints the Service has been significantly reduced having lost 4 Attendance Adviser posts who worked on strategies with schools giving advice and guidance to reduce PA.	↓	8.8%	8.4%	x	✓	✓	AMBER
14	NI 89	Reduction of number of schools judged as requiring special measures and improvement in time taken to come out of the category	LOW	5	0	2	The school that remained in special measures from the old OFSTED framework has been removed in March 2012. It was in SM for 25 months therefore this increases the average time in a category. 2 primary schools were placed in Special Measures under the new OFSTED framework in the Spring Term 2012.	↑	N/A	N/A	x	N/A	N/A	N/A

No.	Ref	Definition	Good Perf	10/11 Perf	11/12 Target	11/12 Outturn	Commentary	DOT (Yr on Yr)	Stat. Neigh.	National	On target	Met SN	Met National	RAG Status	
15	NI 92	Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20% in the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and the rest	LOW	33.5%	32.2%	33.30%	The gap was reduced in 2011 by 0.4%. This however, is 2% away from achieving the national average and 1% from achieving the local target. DFE have ceased to collect targets for EYFS outcomes.	↑	31.10%	31.40%	*	*	*	RED	
16	NI 93	Progression by 2 levels in English between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2	HIGH	79.0%	95.0%	79%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This progression measure is now part of the DfE Floor Standards and schools are expected to be above the national median (87% in 2011). This indicator remained at 79%, the progress measure is well below the statutory targets set individually by schools. Nationally the progress measure remained at 82%, the gap to the national average is 3%. Support to schools is detailed in the delivery plan. 	→	84.2%	83.0%	*	*	*	RED	
17	NI 94	Progression by 2 levels in Maths between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2	HIGH	78.3%	92.0%	79%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This progression measure is now part of the DfE Floor Standards and schools are expected to be above the national median (86% in 2011). This indicator increased by 0.7% in 2011, the progress measure is well below the statutory targets set individually by schools. Nationally the progress measure remained at 83%, the gap to the national average is 3%. Support to schools is detailed in the delivery plan. 	↑	83.7%	82.0%	*	*	*	RED	
18	NI 99	Looked after children reaching level 4 in English at Key Stage 2	HIGH	33.0%	45.5%	50.0%	Target exceeded strategies where in place to support meeting the target.	↑	57.80%	50%	✓	*	✓	GREEN	
19	NI 100	Looked after children reaching level 4 in Maths at Key Stage 2	HIGH	50.0%	54.5%	45.5%	Disappointing results, with two young people not achieving predictions.	↓	53.80%	48%	*	*	*	RED	
20	NI 101	Looked after children achieving 5 A*-C GCSEs (or equivalent) at Key Stage 4 (including English and Maths)	HIGH	26.9%	33.3%	4.2%	2011 performance of 4.2% comprised of 1 out of 24 young people achieving. The original prediction is set some time prior to the young people taking their exams and was set on a cohort of 30 and was a very challenging target. As of September 2011 this cohort had reduced to 24 with a number of the high achievers leaving care.	↓	23.3	12.80%	*	*	*	RED	
21	NI 102	Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at Key Stages 2 and 4	LOW	KS2 - 23% KS4 - 31%	N/A N/A	KS2 - 26% KS4 - 32.1%	<p>KS2 – Rotherham gap has increased by 3%. The attainment for pupils eligible for FSM remains at 48% in 2011. However, the achievement of pupils not eligible for FSM increased from 71% to 74% hence the widening of the gap.</p> <p>KS2 – National gap was reduced by 1% in 2011 from 21% to 20%.</p> <p>58% of pupils that were eligible for FSM achieved L4+ in English and mathematics, this is 10% above the Rotherham average.</p> <p>KS4 – Rotherham gap increased by 1.2% in 2011. The attainment of pupils eligible for FSM increased by 4.9% (from 24.4% to 29.3%). However, pupils not eligible for FSM increased by 6.1% (from 55.3% to 61.4%) hence the widening of the gap.</p> <p>KS4 – National Gap was reduced by 0.1%. The gap in performance comparing LA averages to national averages in 2011 is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> pupils eligible for FSM - 5.4% pupils not eligible for FSM - 0.8% 	↓ ↓	22.4% 29.4%	21.3% 27.6%	N/A N/A	*	*	*	RED RED
22	NI 103	Special Educational Needs – statements issued within 26 weeks													
a	a	Excluding exceptions	HIGH	100.0%	95%	100.0%	103a) of the 22 total statements issued this quarter, if we exclude medical exceptions, 11 were issued within 26 weeks.	↑	99.8%	95%	✓	✓	✓	GREEN	
b	b	Including exceptions	HIGH	100.0%	94%	99.0%	103b) of the 22 total statements issued this quarter, including those with exceptions, all 22 were issued within 26 weeks.	↑	96.7%	88%	✓	✓	✓	GREEN	
23	NI 104	The Special Educational Needs (SEN)/non-SEN gap - achieving Key Stage 2 English and Maths threshold	LOW	56.1%	N/A	54.0%	<p>Rotherham – The SEN gap was reduced by 2% in 2011.</p> <p>National – The SEN gap was reduced by 1%.</p> <p>The difference between the Rotherham LA gap and the National gap was reduced to 2%.</p>	↑	53%	50.90%	N/A	*	*	RED	
24	NI 105	The Special Educational Needs (SEN)/non-SEN gap – achieving 5 A*-C GCSE inc. English and Maths	LOW	48.9%	N/A	50%	The attainment gap for Rotherham increased in 2011 by 1% to 50.0% compared to a national attainment gap of 45%.	↓	44.3%	46.5%	N/A	*	*	RED	

No.	Ref	Definition	Good Perf	10/11 Perf	11/12 Target	11/12 Outturn	Commentary	DOT (Yr on Yr)	Stat. Neigh.	National	On target	Met SN	Met National	RAG Status
25	NI 117	16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, training or employment (NEET)	LOW	6.7%	N/A	7.6%	Rotherham has achieved an outturn of 7.6% for the period November to January against a predicted 7.8%. The mean for statistical neighbours was marginally lower at 7.5%. The three month average for Not Knowns stands at 4.8% whereas the same for statistical neighbours is 8.3%. Rotherham has concentrated effort on ensuring data is as robust and current as possible given the addition of 19 year olds being included in the count. The three month average for In learning fw as 81.2% against a figure of 78.5% for the same period last year (this is based on recalculated data). The reduction of 4% from last years reported figure is explained by the changes in the DFE counting mechanisms, and is not a like for like comparison.	↓	7.5%	6.1%	N/A	*	*	RED
26	NI 148	Care leavers in employment, education or training	HIGH	70.6%	67%	67.7%	overall performance above target. In q4 9/10 young people are in EET. The majority in training or employment - both full and part time opportunities. The 1 young person not in EET is a new parent. 1 young person who was previously NEET is now on a full time training course.	↓	54.0%	61.0%	✓	✓	*	AMBER
Ensuring care and protection are available for those people who need it most														
27	NI 59	Percentage of initial assessments for children's social care carried out												
a	a	within 7 working days of referral	HIGH	82.4%	82.0%	81.9%	Slightly lower percentage impacted by in month performance for March but overall higher volume both in time and completed. Overall significantly higher than Stat Neighbours and National Average. Ten days now national measure	↑	69.7%	64.0%	*	✓	✓	AMBER
b	b	within 10 working days of referral	HIGH	84.7%	86.0%	86.6%	The Ten day measure is the national measure. Again higher volume completed than last year and more completed in time	↑	78.2%	77.2%	✓	✓	✓	GREEN
28	NI 60	Percentage of core assessments for children's social care that were carried out within 35 working days of their commencement	HIGH	79.6%	75.1%	69.4%	Although a downward direction of travel compared to last years percentage figure there are significantly more core assessments completed in time and overall	↑	80.0%	75.0%	*	*	*	RED
29	NI 61	Timeliness of placements of looked after children for adoption following an agency decision that the child should be placed for adoption	HIGH	67.6%	74.0%	50.0%	Downward direction of travel and fewer children adopted in 11/12 than 10/11	↓	75.1%	74.0%	*	*	*	RED
30	NI 62	Stability of placements of looked after children: number of placements	LOW	11.0%	9.5%	10.2%	Amber rating only in respect of local target. Lower than national average	↑	9.8%	10.7%	*	✓	✓	AMBER
31	NI 63	Stability of placements of looked after children: length of placement	HIGH	64.4%	68.0%	64.2%	Slightly lower than last year in both percentage and numbers. Validation issues against this measure led to over reporting through out the year which has now been addressed.	↓	65.5%	68.6%	*	*	*	RED
32	NI 64	Child protection plans lasting 2 years or more	LOW	4.9%	4.0%	2.2%	Very high performance against this measure. Top quartile banding for 10/11 is up to 4%.	↑	6.7%	6.0%	✓	✓	✓	GREEN
33	NI 65	Percentage of children becoming the subject of a Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time	LOW	8.8%	13.3%	11.8%	Although well within the national average target of 13.3% a higher number of children were re-registered in 11/12 than 10/11	↓	13.7%	13.3%	✓	✓	✓	GREEN
34	NI 66	Looked After Children cases which were reviewed within required timescales	HIGH		97.5%	98.0%	Improved performance against both percentage and volume	↑	92.0%	90.0%	✓	✓	✓	GREEN
35	NI 67	Percentage of child protection cases which were reviewed within required timescales	HIGH	100.0%	100%	100.0%	100% performance as per 10/11 out turn	↑	96.0%	97.1%	✓	✓	✓	GREEN
36	NI 68	Percentage of referrals to children's social care going on to initial assessment	HIGH	91.8%	87.6%	93.9%	Improved performance due to changes to front door processes	↑	77.1%	71.5%	✓	✓	✓	GREEN
37	NI 147	Care leavers in suitable accommodation	HIGH	97.1%	95.0%	93.5%	Under target, however still higher than national average. Underperformance relates to 2 young people as small cohort size creates large % variation.	↑	85.1%	90.0%	*	✓	✓	AMBER

No.	Ref	Definition	Good Perf	10/11 Perf	11/12 Target	11/12 Outturn	Commentary	DOT (Yr on Yr)	Stat. Neigh.	National	On target	Met SN	Met National	RAG Status
Helping create safe and healthy communities														
38	NI 52	Take up of school lunches												
a	a	Primary	HIGH	46.4%	49.2%	46.8%	The service has increased the overall take up of meals compared to 2010-11 although the significant increases achieved in previous years has not been replicated. Meal Numbers per day have risen but the impact was offset by the increase in number of pupils on roll. There has been a slight increase in the number of meals served impacting on the PI as numbers on roll reduced slightly. For both sectors the targets set reflect the average take up for our statistical neighbours – the service aims to achieve these levels; requiring to add 522 primary and 360 secondary meals per day	↑	49.2%	44.1%	*	*	✓	RED
b	b	Secondary	HIGH	35.4%	37.6%	35.7%		↑	45.8%	37.6%	*	*	*	RED
39	NI 55	Obesity among primary school age children in Reception												
a	a	Prevalence	LOW	10.5%	N/A	8%	Childhood Obesity review event and Performance Clinic held in 2011/12. Children's weight management services continue to be commissioned and seeing increased uptake. Obesity Strategy Group continues to promote access to both preventive and treatment services and activities. Risk to weight management services during PH transition to LA has been raised and is under review.	↑	9.8%	9.4%	N/A	✓	✓	GREEN
b	b	Coverage	HIGH	94.4%	N/A	98%		↑	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
40	NI 56	Obesity among primary school age children in Year 6												
a	a	Prevalence	LOW	20.0%	N/A	22%	Childhood Obesity review event and Performance Clinic held in 2011/12. Children's weight management services continue to be commissioned and seeing increased uptake. Obesity Strategy Group continues to promote access to both preventive and treatment services and activities. Risk to weight management services during PH transition to LA has been raised and is under review.	↓	20.3%	19.0%	N/A	*	*	RED
b	b	Coverage	HIGH	95.0%	N/A	97%		↑	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
41	NI 112	Under 18 conception rate	LOW	46.6	28.2	45.4	Data relates to 2010 Outturn. National TP Strategy is now finished and NHRMBC are working to review local priorities and allocation of funding to support TP prevention	↓	48.8	35.4	*	✓	*	AMBER
42	NI 113	Prevalence of Chlamydia in under 24 year olds												
a	a	Coverage	HIGH	29.7%	21.0%	13.40%	The number of tests outturned under target for 2011/12, which was anticipated. Rotherham CaSH are now operating the screening programme are contracted until end March 2013.	↓	N/A	N/A	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
b	b	Prevalence	LOW		8	1.00%	The Public Health Outcome Framework 2013-2016 has now been published which now has an indicator in relation to crude diagnosis rate which we will be expected to monitor	↑	N/A	N/A	✓	N/A	N/A	N/A